


Reasoning Reliability in Wrike's Data Pipeline



Wrike - A Collaborative Work Management **Platform**









1000+ Employees

Founded in 2006

10 Offices Globally

5 years in the Fast 500






Organizations choose Wrike to orchestrate their digital work

With an additional 35,000 starting trials each month

- 2M users
- 130+ countries
- 10 languages
- 100M+ completed tasks





What needs to be designed? Icon

For what purpose it needs to be designed? Icon for mobile application - Beautyfilter Do you have a reference idea on how it should look? Crown/Magic Stick/Mirror



Coordinator Anna

@Designer Matt Can you please assist with the design of the icon please?




Designer Matt


@Coordinator Anna Got the first draft here, let me know what you think.





🗄 ☆ 🖈 🗟 🖉 … Product Current Week Cartification and Compliance E by Ashley S. on Nov 14 Active * Community Feedback Default Workflow Content Workflow CSO Operations New In Progress Backlog Customer Education Content Completed In Oueue Customer Interview Workflow Deferred Blocked Data Engineering Workflow Cancelled In Progress Data Subject Request Duplicate Testing Deployment Technical review Change Task Workflow Doc + Comm Workflow Amanda Ready for Deploy Event Workflow Completed Finance Workflow Deffered Cancelled


Backend updates
















🖕 Featured

New/updated project in Wrike will create/update opportunity in Salesforce

🔨 🔸 🔨 🐡



🛎 Featured

× > ×

New Project created in Wrike gets

assigned Custom Job Number

Completed project in Wrike

🛎 Featured

New/Updated Task in Wrike creates an Event in Outlook Calendar

🔨 🕨 🥶 🔨


| | creates invoice in Quickbooks | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----|--|
| Wrike Integrate | 💎 > 💉 🛞 🗢 Int | Neg | |

Wrike < 🐵 🐡 Integrate

Wrike


Integrate

| Clients LIST BOARD TABLE MORE - | Emily Moore - Wrike ລ $@$ | | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| + New task | Active · Aaron Davids + | | |
| Emily Moore - Wrike Active | □ 1 field 🔹 🕼 Attach files 🛛 😋 Shared with 1 group | | |
| Jessica Brown – Brig Active | Client's website: wrike.com | | |
| Paul Jones – Unity Inc. Active | Account notes: Senior Corporate Communications Manager | | |
| Margaret Jenninston Paused | Contact information: emily.team.wrike.com | | |
| Jason Reed – Clever.ly Active | | | |
| | Add comment | | |




Data Engineering in Wrike

- SaaS means that we
 - Create
 - Support
 - \circ Sell our product, and
 - Attract leads
- Help these teams speak the language of data
- We've got big space for data democratization




Data Engineering Team in Wrike

- 16 data engineers in 4 teams
- We're supporting 250+ DAGs on production
- Up to 1200 tasks
- With median of 13 tasks
- ~10 updates of production or acceptance each day
- Helped 5 other teams to start using Airflow
- ~10-15% of our colleagues are using data engineering infrastructure and sources every month directly (>50% are using analytical reports or through integrations)



We've Started With

- First analysts using new Data Warehouse based on Google BigQuery
- Data provided by a single instance of Airflow
 - A lot of bugs found on production data
 - A lot of changes during review
 - A lot of delays in data
 - Partially available data
 - Lack of the full picture during code review and architecture problems
- And we wanted to start democratization
 - Reliable production
 - No changes on production, at least unexpected ones
 - No changes in Data Structure
 - No changes in Data Freshness



Acceptance Could Help

DEV

- Quickly run your pipeline on a very small subset of your data
- In our case 0.0025% of all data
- Nothing will make sense, but it's a nice integration test

TST

- Select a subset of your data for data that you know
- Immediately see if something is off
- Still quick to run

ACC

- Carbon copy of production
- You can check if you feel comfortable pushing to PRD
- Give access to a Product Owner for them to check

PRD


- Greenlight procedure for merging from ACC to PRD
- Manual operation
- Great for git blame

Via Data's Inferno by Wholesale Banking Advanced Analytics




Acceptance Environment

- Acceptance is an environment where changes are welcome
- To make sure that we aren't going to need them on production



No Changes on Production, at Least Unexpected Ones

- No Changes in Data Structure
- No Changes in Data Freshness
- No Changes during release from Acceptance to Production





No Changes in Data Structure



Implementation of Acceptance

DEV

- Quickly run your pipeline on a very small subset of your data
- In our case 0.0025% of all data
- Nothing will make sense, but it's a nice integration test

TST

- Select a subset of your data for data that you know
- Immediately see if something is off
- Still quick to run

ACC

- Carbon copy of production
- You can check if you feel comfortable pushing to PRD
- Give access to a Product Owner for them to check

PRD


- Greenlight procedure for merging from ACC to PRD
- Manual operation
- Great for git blame

Via Data's Inferno by Wholesale Banking Advanced Analytics


Acceptance on DB Side. BigQuery

- Acceptance and production are **different projects** in the notation of BigQuery
- Isolated quotas and limits (resources)
- BigQuery allows for cross-project queries
 - So we store on acceptance only changed data
 - And take source data from production.


| Re | Resources | | + ADD D | ATA 🔻 |
|----|-----------|-------|----------------------|---------|
| Q | proc | luct_ | aggregations | X |
| * | de-a | ссер | tance | Ŧ |
| | • | :: | product_aggregations | |
| | * | :: | stage_product_aggreg | ations |
| | | | accs_adoption_w | /au |
| | | | client_events_scl | hema_st |
| * | de-p | rodu | ction | Ŧ |
| | ٠ | :: | product_aggregations | |
| | • | :: | stage_product_aggreg | ations |
| | | | accs_adoption_w | /au |





















Interface Separation on Other DBs

- Look for interface separation and resource isolation
 - And think about cost tradeoffs
- Approaches for interface separation
 - Schemas
 - Base directory name
 - Naming (bucket names for example)
 - Separate DBs
- Approaches for **resource isolation** (several trade offs with cost)
 - On service layer (separate DBs)
 - On DB side (e.g. roles, connection pools, quotas)
 - Airflow side (e.g. pools, priority, parallelism limit)
 - On monitoring side (e.g. query killer)







No Changes in Data Freshness




Beautiful DAG with 150 Tasks








Execution Example



No Changes in Data Freshness (2 out of 3)


Separate Airflows

- Coordinated via Postgres database named Partition
 Registry
 - Inspired by <u>Functional Data Engineering</u> by Maxime Beauchemin
 - Partition unit of work for DAG, typically hour/day/week in a table
- State of partition published using operator
 - Explicitly publish sources
 - After all data validations have passed
- Wait for dependent sources using sensor
 - Automatically identify the strategy for interval
 - Week-on-hour, Month-on-day, custom catch-ups, etc.







- Custom monitoring and alerts:
 - Severity of delays for partitions (DAG SLAs)
 - Base for data lineage




- Custom monitoring and alerts:
 - Severity of delays for partitions (DAG SLAs)
 - Base for data lineage
- Not Airflow: Pentaho DI and Old Jenkins Pipelines



- Custom monitoring and alerts:
 - Severity of delays for partitions (DAG SLAs)
 - Base for data lineage
- Not Airflow: Pentaho DI and Old Jenkins Pipelines
- Airflow for Analysts: isolated resources and credentials



- Custom monitoring and alerts:
 - Severity of delays for partitions (DAG SLAs)
 - Base for data lineage
- Not Airflow: Pentaho DI and Old Jenkins Pipelines
- Airflow for Analysts: isolated resources and credentials
- K8s Airflow in Cloud
 - Easy switch with on-prem
 - Zero downtime migration
 - Data locality



No Changes During Release from Acc to Prod

Acceptance Told Us Where We Went Wrong

-0- 696 Commits 🛛 🖌 47 Branches

production v airflow-da

Y acceptance protected

-o- ed4b4018 · remove test_source from alerts · 2 days ago

42 804

Merge request

Y production default protected


--- ff1c9375 · new non_pii_backend views · 2 days ago

No Changes During Release Process (3 out of 3)

Fast and Reliable Release


- We need code freeze to test dependent parts
- But we need 10 releases per day
 - So, we need to freeze as little as possible
 - But still review and test every change made

Dependency Scheme





Dependency Scheme with Code



No Changes During Release Process Means

- Good data isolation during release
- Good code isolation during release



Bad Data Isolation Is When

- You recalculate your data and get different results
- Data distribution changes
- Data distribution does not change when it should
- Analytical dashboard starts to focus on the wrong things
- You achieve your results a lot faster :)
- Something else is wrong and you don't know about it.

So if Data Changes

- It's safe to assume
 - Review is no longer valid
 - Manual testing is no longer valid
 - Data sources may be corrupted
- So before the release of data change
 - Notifying all stakeholders of all changed dependent sources
 - Checking that everything works correctly on acceptance
 - Making atomic release
- We're helping to implement recalculation strategies
 - Recalculating everything and keeping it up-to-date
 - Preserving history for metrics in prestaging
 - Supporting and gradual deprecation of old version of metrics


Keeping Track of Data Isolation

- Knowing when dependencies are updated after release to production
 - Notifications from other teams
 - Dependency on exact version of partition
 - Makes it easier to switch between acc and prod in code
 - Validation of data on your side
 - <u>Great Expectations</u> to explicitly specify your assumptions on data nature
 - Anomaly detection
- Finding all dependent sources before release to the production
 - Manual
 - BigQuery history
 - Search in git repository
 - Data Lineage + release process
 - Autotests

Good Code Isolation

- Bad code isolation means you have a bug and your pipeline is not working
- This happens when when 2+ DAGs use the same code
 - You update code or library and other DAG fails
- Two types of failure
 - Scheduler/Web Server appears immediately, hard isolation (fat-zip, boilerplate)
 - Worker visible during execution, easy isolation (k8s, venv)
 - Can be at the end of a 4 hour-long task at the start of the next month :(
- How do we avoid this?
 - \circ $\,$ $\,$ There is 20% of code used in 80% of cases $\,$
 - We're moving it to the library, test and track backward compatibility
 - We have a shared code that is changed rarely
 - This code should be as private as possible to make sure that we're not reusing it
 - The main reason for DAGs to be included in the single repo or merge request

Dependency Scheme with Code



How Do We Reason About Reliability?

- Our production is very predictable
- All interface changes reviewed on separate environment
 - We keep track of all data dependencies and communicate the change to all stakeholders throughout the pipeline
 - Every source on production is reviewed, supported by several data engineers, have a clear time of readiness and all errors are communicated to all stakeholders
- We're using partition registry
 - To isolate resources of acceptance
 - As little recalculation as possible
 - To integrate Airflow with separate creds and resources to other teams
- Acceptance could be made cheaper





Thank You! Any Questions?

Alexander Eliseev at Airflow Slack alexander.eliseev@team.wrike.com https://github.com/eliseealex

